

A DUALITY FOR MONADIC $(n + 1)$ -VALUED *MV*-ALGEBRAS

MARINA BEATRIZ LATTANZI AND ALEJANDRO GUSTAVO PETROVICH

ABSTRACT. Categorical equivalences between the varieties of monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebras and the classes of monadic Boolean algebras endowed with certain family of their filters are given. Using these equivalences, it is proved that every monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebra can be represented by a rich algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Wajsberg algebras (see [7, 11, 23]) are an equivalent reformulation of Chang *MV*-algebras based on implication instead of disjunction. *MV*-algebras were introduced by Chang [4, 5] to prove the completeness of the infinite valued Łukasiewicz propositional calculus. The classes of $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebras were introduced by R. Grigolia in [13], who also gave their equational characterization. For each $n > 0$, this variety is generated by the chain of length $n + 1$ and the algebras belonging to this variety are the algebraic models of the $(n + 1)$ -valued Łukasiewicz propositional calculus. Łukasiewicz 3-valued and 4-valued algebras coincide with 3-valued and 4-valued *MV*-algebras, respectively.

Y. Komori [16] introduced the *CN*-algebras as algebraic models of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued propositional calculus formulated in terms of the operations implication and negation. A. J. Rodríguez [23] called Wajsberg algebras what was previously known as *CN*-algebras (see also [11]). $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebras are equivalent to $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebras. The variety of $(n + 1)$ -bounded *W*-algebras is generated by chains of length less or equal than $n + 1$. In this paper Wajsberg algebras will be used instead of *MV*-algebras.

For each integer $n > 0$, it is shown in [19] that there exists a categorical equivalence between the variety of $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebras and the class of Boolean algebras endowed with a certain family of filters. Another similar categorical equivalence is given by A. Di Nola and A. Lettieri in [9]. In this paper, the mentioned equivalence is extended to the variety of monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebras. Using this equivalence, it is proved that every monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued *MV*-algebra can be represented by a rich algebra. When $n = 2$, the results given by Luiz Monteiro in [21] about the representation of monadic 3-valued Łukasiewicz algebras by rich algebras are obtained.

The basic results about *MV*-algebras can be found, for instance, in [7]. For a reformulation in the context of Wajsberg algebras (or *CN*-algebras) see [23, 11, 16].

A Wajsberg algebra (or *W*-algebra, for short) is an algebra $A = \langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ of type $(2, 1, 0)$ satisfying the following identities: $1 \rightarrow x = x$, $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = 1$, $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$ and $(\neg y \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = 1$. The reduct $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Kleene algebra where $0 = \neg 1$, $x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$, $x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ and $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y = 1$. If we set $x \oplus y = \neg y \rightarrow x$ and $x \odot y = \neg(x \rightarrow \neg y)$ then $\langle A, \oplus, \odot, 0 \rangle$ is an *MV*-algebra. The set $B(A) = \{x \in A : x \odot x = x\}$ is a Boolean algebra. Indeed, $B(A)$ is the Boolean algebra of the complemented elements of the lattice reduct of A . The elements of

$B(A)$ are called the boolean elements of A . For all $x \in A$ and each non negative integer m we set:

$$\begin{aligned} 0x &= 0 \text{ and } (m+1)x = (mx) \oplus x; \\ x^0 &= 1 \text{ and } x^{m+1} = (x^m) \odot x. \end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in A$ and all integer $m \geq 0$, the following properties hold:

$$(W1) \neg(x^m) = m(\neg x),$$

$$(W2) (p \rightarrow q)^m \leq mp \rightarrow mq.$$

A subset $F \subseteq A$ is an *implicative filter* of A if $1 \in F$ and for all $a, b \in A$, $a, a \rightarrow b \in F$ implies $b \in F$. Implicative filters are lattice filters which are closed by the operation \odot . The family of all implicative filters of A is an algebraic lattice under set-inclusion, and it is isomorphic to the algebraic lattice of all congruence relations on A . For every implicative filter F of A and each $x \in A$ we represent with $[x]_F$ the set of all elements $y \in A$ such that x and y are F -congruent. An implicative filter of A is *prime* if it is a lattice prime filter of A . We denote by $\chi(A)$ the set of all prime implicative filters of A . An implicative filter P of A is prime if and only if A/P is a chain.

In what follows let $n \geq 1$ be an integer.

The unit interval $[0, 1]$ endowed with the operations $x \rightarrow y := \min \{1, 1 - x + y\}$ and $\neg x := 1 - x$ is a Wajsberg algebra. We denote by L_{n+1} the subalgebra of $[0, 1]$ whose universe is $\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$. It is verified that L_{t+1} is a subalgebra of L_{n+1} if and only if t divides n .

An $(n+1)$ -bounded Wajsberg algebra A is a Wajsberg algebra which verifies $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $x \in A$.

An $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra A is an $(n+1)$ -bounded Wajsberg algebra which verifies $n(x^j \oplus (\neg x \odot \neg x^{j-1})) = 1$, for every $x \in A$ and $1 < j < n$ does not divide n .

If $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ is an $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra then $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \neg, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an $(n+1)$ -valued Łukasiewicz algebra, where the operators σ_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, are defined in terms of the Wajsberg operations (see [15]).

The following results are developed in [19] and establish the equivalences mentioned above.

Let B be a Boolean algebra. We denote by $B^{[n]}$ the set of all increasing monotone functions from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into B . $B^{[n]}$ with the operations of the lattice defined pointwise, the chain of constants $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{n-1} < c_n = 1$ where, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$, $c_k(i)$ is equal to 1 if $i \geq n+1-k$ and equal to 0 otherwise, the negation defined by $(\neg f)(i) = \neg f(n+1-i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the modal operators $\sigma_i(f)(j) = f(i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, is a Post algebra of order $n+1$ [2]; therefore it is an $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra [24]. In Theorem 1.1 a direct proof of this results is given, showing explicitly the form of operations. In every $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra, the prime filters occur in finite and disjoint chains, then by the Martínez's Unicity Theorem [20] the implication is determined by the order.

Theorem 1.1. [19] *Let B be a Boolean algebra and $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then $\langle B^{[n]}, \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is an $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra where $B^{[n]} =$*

$\{f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow B : f(i) \leq f(j) \text{ for all } i, j \text{ such that } i \leq j\}$, \mathbb{I} is the constant function equal to 1 and, for $f, g \in B^{[n]}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, $(\neg f)(k) = \neg f(n + 1 - k)$ and $(f \mapsto g)(k) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (f(i) \rightarrow g(i + k - 1))$.

Remark 1.1. We denote by $Div(n)$ the set of all positive divisors of n . Let $d \in Div(n)$. For each integer j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, there exists an only integer $q_{d,j}$, $1 \leq q_{d,j} \leq d$, such that $(q_{d,j} - 1) \frac{n}{d} < j \leq q_{d,j} \frac{n}{d}$. Indeed, $q_{d,j}$ is the first element of the set $X = \{q \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq q \leq d, j \leq q \frac{n}{d}\}$. That is to say that the only block corresponding to the divisor d of n that contains j is that determined by $q_{d,j}$. Thus, for any $d \in Div(n)$, we can think an n -tuple to be composed by d blocks, each one of them with $\frac{n}{d}$ elements.

In what follows, for each $f \in B^{[n]}$, $d \in Div(n)$ and any integer $1 \leq q \leq d$, we shall write $\xi_{d,q}(f)$ instead of $f(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow f((q - 1) \frac{n}{d} + 1)$.

Corollary 1.1. [19] Let B be a Boolean algebra, let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let h be a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of B . The set $\{f \in B^{[n]} : \xi_{d,q}(f) \in h(d), \text{ for each } d \in Div(n) \text{ and all } 1 \leq q \leq d\}$ is denoted by $M(B, h)$. Then $\langle M(B, h), \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is an $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg subalgebra of $B^{[n]}$. Also, if $h(d) = B$ for each $d \in D = Div(n) - \{n\}$ then $M(B, h)$ is a Post algebra of order $n + 1$.

Theorem 1.2. [19] Let $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ be an $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra. For each $d \in Div(n)$ let $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$, where $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$. Then $\varphi : A \longrightarrow M(B(A), h_A)$ is a W -isomorphism, being $\varphi(x)(i) = \sigma_i(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 1.1. (a) A pair $\langle B, h \rangle \in B^{n+1}$ if B is a Boolean algebra and h is a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of B such that $h(n) = \{1\}$ and $h(\gcd\{d, r\}) = h(d) \vee h(r)$, for every $d, r \in Div(n)$ ($\gcd\{d, r\}$ is the greatest common divisor of the set $\{d, r\}$).

(b) Objects $\langle B_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $\langle B_2, h_2 \rangle$ in B^{n+1} are isomorphic if there exists a boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$ which verifies $\varphi^{-1}(h_2(d)) = h_1(d)$ for all $d \in Div(n)$.

Remark 1.2. Let $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ be an $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra. Then $\langle B(A), h_A \rangle \in B^{n+1}$, where $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$ being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$, for each $d \in Div(n)$.

Theorem 1.3. [19] Let $\langle B, h \rangle \in B^{n+1}$ and let $A = M(B, h)$. Then $\langle B, h \rangle$ and $\langle B(A), h_A \rangle$ are isomorphic objects in B^{n+1} .

Let \mathscr{W}^{n+1} be the category of $(n + 1)$ -valued W -algebras and W -homomorphisms. Let \mathscr{B}^{n+1} be the category whose objects are pairs in B^{n+1} and whose morphisms are defined in the following way: if $O_1 = \langle B_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $O_2 = \langle B_2, h_2 \rangle$ are objects in this category, θ is a morphism from O_1 into O_2 if it is a boolean homomorphism from B_1 into B_2 which verifies $h_1(d) \subseteq \theta^{-1}(h_2(d))$ for any $d \in Div(n)$.

It is easy to see that θ is an isomorphism from O_1 onto O_2 if it is a boolean isomorphism from B_1 onto B_2 which verifies $h_1(d) = \theta^{-1}(h_2(d))$ for each $d \in Div(n)$.

Let B be the functor from \mathscr{W}^{n+1} to \mathscr{B}^{n+1} defined in the following way:

(i) For each object $\mathscr{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ in \mathscr{W}^{n+1} , $B(\mathscr{A}) = \langle B(A), h_A \rangle$, where $B(A)$ is the set of boolean elements of A and for all d divisor of n , $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$, being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$.

(ii) If \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are objects in the category \mathcal{W}^{n+1} and $g : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a \mathcal{W}^{n+1} -morphism, $B(g) : \langle B(A_1), h_{A_1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle B(A_2), h_{A_2} \rangle$ is defined by $B(g) = g/B(A_1)$.

Let M be the functor from \mathcal{B}^{n+1} to \mathcal{W}^{n+1} defined in the following way:

(i) For each object $\langle B, h \rangle$ in \mathcal{B}^{n+1} , let $M(\langle B, h \rangle) = \langle M(B, h), \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$.

(ii) If $\langle B_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $\langle B_2, h_2 \rangle$ are objects in the category \mathcal{B}^{n+1} and g is a \mathcal{B}^{n+1} -morphism from $\langle B_1, h_1 \rangle$ into $\langle B_2, h_2 \rangle$ let $M(g) : M(B_1, h_1) \rightarrow M(B_2, h_2)$ where $M(g)(f) = g \circ f$, for any $f \in M(B_1, h_1)$.

From Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 the functors B and M define a natural equivalence between the categories \mathcal{W}^{n+1} and \mathcal{B}^{n+1} .

Monadic $(n+1)$ -valued W -algebras [25, 26, 12, 10, 1] are defined as follows.

Definition 1.2. An algebra $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic Wajsberg algebra if $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ is a Wajsberg algebra and $\forall : A \rightarrow A$ is a function which verifies the following identities:

$$(U1) \forall x \rightarrow x = 1,$$

$$(U2) \forall(\forall x \rightarrow y) = \forall x \rightarrow \forall y,$$

$$(U3) \forall(\neg x \rightarrow x) = \neg \forall x \rightarrow \forall x.$$

Observe that identity U3 can be write $\forall(2x) = 2\forall x$.

Let $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ be a monadic Wajsberg algebra. Often we will write A or $\langle A, \forall \rangle$ instead of $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$. If $X \subseteq A$, $\forall(X) = \{\forall x : x \in X\}$. Algebras $\forall(A)$ and $B(A)$ are monadic Wajsberg subalgebras of A . In particular $\langle B(A), \forall \rangle$ is a monadic Boolean algebra. For all $x, y \in A$ and all integer $m \geq 0$, the following properties hold:

$$(U4) \forall \forall x = \forall x,$$

$$(U5) x \leq y \text{ implies } \forall x \leq \forall y,$$

$$(U6) \forall(x \wedge y) = \forall x \wedge \forall y,$$

$$(U7) \forall(x \rightarrow y) \leq \forall x \rightarrow \forall y,$$

$$(U8) \forall \neg \forall x = \neg \forall x,$$

$$(U9) \forall(x \odot \forall y) = \forall x \odot \forall y,$$

$$(U10) (\forall x)^m \leq \forall(x^m).$$

Definition 1.3. A monadic Wajsberg algebra $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra (MW^{n+1} -algebra, for short) if $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, 1 \rangle$ is an $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra.

The varieties of monadic $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebras will be denoted by \mathbf{MW}^{n+1} .

In [18] the classes of $(n+1)$ -bounded Wajsberg algebras with a U -operator (or UW_{n+1} -algebras) are defined as $(n+1)$ -bounded Wajsberg algebras with an operator which verifies the properties (U1) and (U2). With \mathbf{UW}_{n+1} we denote the varieties of $(n+1)$ -bounded Wajsberg algebras with a U -operator.

Lemma 1.1. $\mathbf{MW}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathbf{UW}_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 1$.

Remark 1.3. (i) If $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic Wajsberg algebra then $\langle A, \oplus, \odot, \neg, \exists, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic MV -algebra (see [10, 1, 25, 12]) where for each $x \in A$, $\exists x = \neg \forall \neg x$.

(ii) If $\langle A, \oplus, \odot, \neg, \exists, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic MV -algebra then $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic Wajsberg algebra where for each $x \in A$, $\forall x = \neg \exists \neg x$.

Theorem 1.4. [10, Corollary 14] *If $\langle A, \forall \rangle$ is a totally ordered monadic Wajsberg algebra, then \forall is the identity.*

The following result is consequence of [18, Theorem 2.2] and Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.2. *The variety MW^{n+1} is semisimple.*

Theorem 2.3 in [18] for UW_{n+1} -algebras yields the following result in the class of monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebras.

Theorem 1.5. *Let A be a non trivial MW^{n+1} -algebra. Then A is a simple MW^{n+1} -algebra if, and only if, $\forall(A)$ is a simple $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra if, and only if, $\forall(A) \cap B(A)$ is simple Boolean algebra.*

The following properties hold for every non trivial Wajsberg algebra A .

- (P1) A is a simple $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra if and only if A is isomorphic to L_{r+1} for some integer $r \geq 1$, r divisor of n .
- (P2) A is an $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra if and only if A can be represented (as subdirect product) in $\prod_{i/n} L_{i+1}^{\chi_{i+1}}$, where $\chi_{i+1} = \{D \in \chi(A) : A/D \simeq L_{i+1}\}$.

Corollary 1.2. *$\langle L_{n+1}^I, \forall \rangle$ is a simple MW^{n+1} -algebra, where I is a nonempty set and for each $f : I \longrightarrow L_{n+1}$, $\forall f$ is the constant function defined by $(\forall f)(x) = \inf\{f(x) : x \in I\}$.*

Theorem 1.6. *If A is a simple MW^{n+1} -algebra, then it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\langle L_{n+1}^I, \forall \rangle$, for some nonempty set I .*

Proof. The proof is a special case of Theorem 2.4 in [18] using Theorem 1.5, properties (P1) and (P2), Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.4. □

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\langle A, \forall \rangle$ be an MW^{n+1} -algebra. Then $\forall(kx) = k\forall x$ for every $x \in A$ and all integer $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. It is easy to prove that the identities are valid in a simple MW^{n+1} -algebra; so they are valid in all MW^{n+1} -algebra, follows from Lemma 1.2. □

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\langle A, \forall \rangle$ be an MW^{n+1} -algebra. Then for every $x \in A$ the following properties hold:*

- (U11) $\forall(x^k) = (\forall x)^k$, for each integer $1 \leq k \leq n$,
- (U12) $\forall(\sigma_i(x)) = \sigma_i(\forall x)$, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. (U11) follows from properties W1, W2, U5, U8, U9 and U10. (U12) follows from Corollary 1.3, U11 and [15, Theorem 5.23]. □

It is proved in [12] that monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued MV-algebras are polynomially equivalent to monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Łukasiewicz algebras for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, respectively.

2. THE DUALITY FOR MONADIC $(n + 1)$ -VALUED WAJSBERG ALGEBRAS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra and $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then $\langle B^{[n]}, \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra where $B^{[n]} = \{f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow B : f(i) \leq f(j) \text{ for all } i, j \text{ such that } i \leq j\}$, \mathbb{I} is the constant function equal to 1 and, for $f, g \in B^{[n]}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, $(\neg f)(k) = \neg f(n + 1 - k)$, $(f \mapsto g)(k) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (f(i) \rightarrow g(i + k - 1))$ and $(\forall f)(i) = \forall(f(i))$.*

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 $\langle B^{[n]}, \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is an $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra. Moreover, for every $f, g \in B^{[n]}$ and integers $i, k, 1 \leq i, k \leq n$, the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \forall f \leq f \\
& (\forall f)(i) = \forall f(i) \leq f(i) \\
(2) \quad & \forall(f \mapsto \forall g) = \forall f \mapsto \forall g \\
& (\forall(f \mapsto \forall g))(k) = \forall((f \mapsto \forall g)(k)) = \forall \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (f(i) \rightarrow (\forall g)(i+k-1)) \right) \\
& = \forall \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (f(i) \rightarrow \forall(g(i+k-1))) \right) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \forall(f(i) \rightarrow \forall(g(i+k-1))) \\
& = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (\forall(f(i)) \rightarrow \forall(g(i+k-1))) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} ((\forall f)(i) \rightarrow (\forall g)(i+k-1)) \\
& = (\forall f \mapsto \forall g)(k). \\
(3) \quad & \forall(\neg f \mapsto f) = \neg \forall f \mapsto \forall f. \\
& (\forall(\neg f \mapsto f))(k) = \forall((\neg f \mapsto f)(k)) \\
& = \forall \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (\neg f(n+1-i) \rightarrow f(i+k-1)) \right) \\
& = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \forall(f(n+1-i) \vee f(i+k-1)). \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\neg \forall f \mapsto \forall f)(k) & = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (\neg(\forall f)(n+1-i) \rightarrow (\forall f)(i+k-1)) = \\
& \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (\forall f(n+1-i) \vee \forall f(i+k-1)). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

If $i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ ($\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less or equal to x , for a real number x) then $i+k-1 \leq n+1-i$ and the equality follows from (1), (2) and U5. Similarly if $i > \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ because $n+1-i \leq i+k-1$.

□

Remark 2.1. Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra. Algebras $(\forall(B))^{[n]}$ and $\forall(B^{[n]})$ are isomorphic algebras. Indeed, $(\forall(B))^{[n]} = \{f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \forall(B) : f(i) \leq f(j) \text{ for all } i, j \text{ such that } i \leq j\}$ and $\forall(B^{[n]}) = \{f \in B^{[n]} : \forall f = f\} = \{f \in B^{[n]} : \forall(f(i)) = f(i), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$. It is clear that $f \in (\forall(B))^{[n]}$ if and only if f is an increasing function from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into B such that $f(i) \in \forall(B)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$; if and only if $f \in B^{[n]}$ and $\forall(f(i)) = f(i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$; if and only if $f \in \forall(B^{[n]})$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra, $n \geq 1$ be an integer and h^M be a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of monadic filters of B . Let $M(B, h^M)$ be the set $\{f \in B^{[n]} : f(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow f((q-1) \frac{n}{d} + 1) \in h^M(d), \text{ for each } d \in \text{Div}(n) \text{ and all } 1 \leq q \leq d\}$. Then $\langle M(B, h^M), \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a monadic $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg subalgebra of $B^{[n]}$.

Proof. From Corollary 1.1 we only shall prove that \forall is closed into $M(B, h^M)$. Let $f \in M(B, h^M)$, then $f(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow f((q-1) \frac{n}{d} + 1) \in h^M(d)$, for every $d \in \text{Div}(n)$ and all integer $q, 1 \leq q \leq d$. Since $h^M(d)$ is a monadic filter, using U7 we have $(\forall f)(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow (\forall f)((q-1) \frac{n}{d} + 1) = \forall(f(q \frac{n}{d})) \rightarrow \forall(f((q-1) \frac{n}{d} + 1)) \geq \forall(f(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow f((q-1) \frac{n}{d} + 1))$; then $\forall f \in M(B, h^M)$. □

Remark 2.2. Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra, $n \geq 1$ be an integer and h^M be a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of monadic filters of B . Then, for each $f \in M(B, h^M)$, $\forall f$ is the last element of the set $(f] \cap M(B, h^M) \cap (\forall(B))^{[n]}$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra, $n \geq 1$ be an integer and h be a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of B . Then $\langle M(B, h), \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra where $(\forall f)(i) = \forall(f(i))$, for each $f \in M(B, h)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ be a monadic Boolean algebra. If F be a filter of B , then $\forall^{-1}F$ is a monadic filter of B and $\forall^{-1}F \subseteq F$. Moreover, $\forall^{-1}F$ is maximal among all the monadic filters of B included in F . Let h^M be the function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of monadic filters of B defined by $h^M(d) = \forall^{-1}h(d)$, for each $d \in \text{Div}(n)$. From Corollary 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we have that $\langle M(B, h^M), \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra where, for each $f \in M(B, h^M)$, $\forall f$ is the last element of the set $(f] \cap M(B, h^M) \cap (\forall(B))^{[n]}$. Moreover, $\langle M(B, h^M), \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a W -subalgebra of $\langle M(B, h), \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ because for every $f \in M(B, h^M)$ is $f(q \frac{n}{d}) \rightarrow f((q - 1) \frac{n}{d} + 1) \in h^M(d) \subseteq h(d)$, for each $d \in \text{Div}(n)$ and all $1 \leq q \leq d$. Let $f \in M(B, h)$; then $\forall f$ is the last element of the set $(f] \cap M(B, h^M) \cap (\forall(B))^{[n]}$ because, if there exists $g \in M(B, h)$ such that $g \leq f$ and $g \in M(B, h^M) \cap (\forall(B))^{[n]}$, then $g = \forall g \leq \forall f$. Therefore \forall is the quantifier onto $M(B, h)$ determined by the subalgebra $M(B, h^M) \cap (\forall(B))^{[n]}$. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ be a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra. Let h_A be the function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of $B(A)$ where, for each $d \in \text{Div}(n)$, $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$, being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$. Then $\langle M(B(A), h_A), \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ and $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ are isomorphic monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebras.

Proof. From Theorem 1.2 the function $\varphi : A \longrightarrow M(B(A), h_A)$ is a W -isomorphism, being $\varphi(x)(i) = \sigma_i(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$; moreover, $\forall \varphi(x) = \varphi(\forall x)$ because from U12 we have $(\forall \varphi(x))(i) = \forall(\varphi(x)(i)) = \forall(\sigma_i(x)) = \sigma_i(\forall x) = (\varphi(\forall x))(i)$. \square

Definition 2.1. (i) A 3-tuple $\langle B, \forall, h \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$ if $\langle B, \forall \rangle$ is a monadic Boolean algebra and h is a function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of B such that $h(n) = \{1\}$ and $h(\gcd\{d, r\}) = h(d) \vee h(r)$, for every $d, r \in \text{Div}(n)$ ($\gcd\{d, r\}$ is the greatest common divisor of the set $\{d, r\}$).

(ii) 3-tuples $\langle B_1, \forall_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $\langle B_2, \forall_2, h_2 \rangle$ in MB^{n+1} are isomorphic if there exists a monadic boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$ which verifies $\varphi^{-1}(h_2(d)) = h_1(d)$ for all $d \in \text{Div}(n)$.

Remark 2.3. Let $\langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, 1 \rangle$ be a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra. Then $\langle B(A), \forall, h_A \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$, where, for each $d \in \text{Div}(n)$, $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$ being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\langle B, \forall, h \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$ and let $A = M(B, h)$. Then $\langle B, \forall, h \rangle$ and $\langle B(A), \forall, h_A \rangle$ are isomorphic objects in MB^{n+1} .

Proof. Let $\langle B, \forall, h \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$ and $A = M(B, h)$. By Corollary 2.2 we know that $\langle A, \mapsto, \neg, \forall, \mathbb{I} \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra where $(\forall f)(i) = \forall(f(i))$, for all $f \in A$ and every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$.

It is easy to see that $B(A)$ is the subalgebra that consist of all constant functions. If h_A is the function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of $B(A)$ defined by $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$, being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$, then $\langle B(A), \mathbb{V}, h_A \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$ (because Remark 2.3).

Let $\mu : B \longrightarrow B(A)$ such that $\mu(a)$ is the constant function from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into B that takes the value a , for each $a \in B$. In [19, Theorem 3] it is prove that μ is a boolean isomorphism from B onto $B(A)$ which verifies $\mu^{-1}(P_d \cap B(A)) = h(d)$, for each $d \in Div(n)$. Moreover, for each $x \in B$ and all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, it is $(\mu(\forall x))(i) = \forall x = \forall(\mu(x)(i)) = (\mathbb{V}\mu(x))(i)$. \square

Let $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ be the category of monadic $(n+1)$ -valued W -algebras and monadic W -homomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ be the category whose objects are the 3-tuples in MB^{n+1} and whose morphisms are defined in the following way: if $O_1 = \langle B_1, \mathbb{V}_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $O_2 = \langle B_2, \mathbb{V}_2, h_2 \rangle$ are objects in this category, θ is a morphism from O_1 into O_2 if it is a monadic boolean homomorphism from B_1 into B_2 which verifies $h_1(d) \subseteq \theta^{-1}(h_2(d))$ for any $d \in Div(n)$.

It is easy to see that θ is an isomorphism from O_1 onto O_2 if it is a monadic boolean isomorphism from B_1 onto B_2 which verifies $h_1(d) = \theta^{-1}(h_2(d))$ for each $d \in Div(n)$.

Let B be defined from $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ to $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ as follows:

(i) For each object $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow, \neg, \mathbb{V}, 1 \rangle$ in the category $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$, $B(\mathcal{A}) = \langle B(A), \mathbb{V}, h_A \rangle$, where $B(A)$ is the set of boolean elements of A and for all d divisor of n , $h_A(d) = P_d \cap B(A)$, being $P_d = \bigcap \{P \in \chi(A) : A/P \subseteq L_{d+1}\}$.

(ii) If \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are objects in the category $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ and $g : \mathcal{A}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is an $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ -morphism, $B(g) : \langle B(A_1), \mathbb{V}_1, h_{A_1} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle B(A_2), \mathbb{V}_2, h_{A_2} \rangle$ is defined by $B(g) = g/B(A_1)$.

It is immediate that $B(g)$ is a monadic boolean homomorphism. Moreover, $B(g)$ is an $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ -morphism. Indeed, let $a \in h_{A_1}(d)$. If $a \notin B(g)^{-1}(h_{A_2}(d))$ then $g(a) \notin h_{A_2}(d)$, hence there exists a prime implicative filter P of A_2 such that $A_2/P \subseteq L_{d+1}$ and $g(a) \notin P$. Thus $a \notin g^{-1}(P) \cap B(A_1)$. The function $v : A_1/g^{-1}(P) \longrightarrow A_2/P$ defined by $v([x]_{g^{-1}(P)}) = [g(x)]_P$ is an embedding from $A_1/g^{-1}(P)$ into $A_2/P \subseteq L_{d+1}$, i.e., $A_1/g^{-1}(P) \subseteq L_{d+1}$ then $a \notin h_{A_1}(d)$ which is a contradiction. It is easy to verify that B is a functor.

Let M be defined from $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ to $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ as follows:

(i) For each object $\langle B, \mathbb{V}, h \rangle$ in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$, let $M(\langle B, \mathbb{V}, h \rangle) = \langle M(B, h), \mapsto, \neg, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{I} \rangle$, where \mathbb{V} is defined pointwise.

(ii) If $\langle B_1, \mathbb{V}_1, h_1 \rangle$ and $\langle B_2, \mathbb{V}_2, h_2 \rangle$ are objects in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ and g is an $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$ -morphism from $\langle B_1, \mathbb{V}_1, h_1 \rangle$ into $\langle B_2, \mathbb{V}_2, h_2 \rangle$ let $M(g) : M(B_1, h_1) \longrightarrow M(B_2, h_2)$ where $M(g)(f) = g \circ f$, for any $f \in M(B_1, h_1)$.

It is clear that $M(g)$ is well defined because, if $f \in M(B_1, h_1)$ then for each $d \in Div(n)$ and all integer q , $1 \leq q \leq d$ we have $\xi_{d,q}(f) \in h_1(d)$; hence $\xi_{d,q}(g \circ f) = g(\xi_{d,q}(f)) \in g(h_1(d)) \subseteq gg^{-1}(h_2(d)) \subseteq h_2(d)$. Therefore $g \circ f \in M(B_2, h_2)$. Besides $M(g)$ is a monadic W -homomorphism. It is easy to see that M is a functor.

From Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 follows that the functors B and M define a natural equivalence between the categories $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{W}^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$.

3. REPRESENTATION BY RICH ALGEBRAS

Using the natural equivalence established in section 2 and the Representation Theorem by rich algebras for monadic Boolean algebras [14], we will prove that every monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra can be represented by a rich algebra. Specifically, we will prove that every monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued W -algebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra B of a functional algebra A^I such that, for every $b \in B$ there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that $b(x_0) = \bigwedge_{x \in I} b(x)$.

Let $\langle A, \forall \rangle$ a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra.

Claim 3.1 $\langle B(A), \forall, h_A \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$ (see Remark 2.3). Particularly, $\langle B(A), \forall \rangle$ is a monadic Boolean algebra, therefore it can be represented by a rich algebra as follows [14]. A constant of $B(A)$ is a boolean homomorphism $c : B(A) \rightarrow \forall(B(A))$ such that $c(x) = x$ for every $x \in \forall(B(A))$; the set of all constants of $B(A)$ is denoted by I . The functional algebra $\langle (\forall(B(A)))^I, V \rangle$ is a monadic boolean algebra where $(Vf)(c) = \bigwedge_{c \in I} f(c)$, for each $f \in (\forall(B(A)))^I$. Then $\eta : B(A) \rightarrow (\forall(B(A)))^I$ defined by $\eta(b)(c) = c(b)$ for each $b \in B(A)$ is a monadic boolean monomorphism such that $\eta(b)(c) = \bigwedge_{x \in I} (\eta(b))(x)$.

Claim 3.2 The image of a filter in $B(A)$ under \forall is a filter in $\forall(B(A))$. Let h^1 be the function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of $\forall(B(A))$ defined by $h^1(d) = \forall(h_A(d))$. It is easy to show that $\langle \forall(B(A)), \forall, h^1 \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$; then, by Corollary 2.2, $\langle M(\forall(B(A))), h^1, \forall \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra.

Claim 3.3 If F is a filter in $\forall(B(A))$, then F^I is a filter in $(\forall(B(A)))^I$. Let h^2 be the function from the lattice of divisors of n into the lattice of filters of $(\forall(B(A)))^I$ defined by $h^2(d) = (\forall(h_A(d)))^I$. It is easy to show that $\langle (\forall(B(A)))^I, V, h^2 \rangle \in MB^{n+1}$. Therefore, $\langle M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2, \forall \rangle$ is a monadic $(n + 1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebra, follows from Corollary 2.2.

Claim 3.4 $\langle M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2, \forall \rangle$ and $\langle (M(\forall(B(A))), h^1)^I, V \rangle$ are isomorphic algebras.

Let $\Psi : M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2 \rightarrow (M(\forall(B(A))), h^1)^I$ be the function defined by $((\Psi(g))(c))(i) = g(i)(c)$, for each $g \in M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2$, $c \in I$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The function Ψ is well defined and it is a monadic W -isomorphism. Indeed, let $g \in M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2$, $d \in Div(n)$ and $1 \leq q \leq d$ be an integer. For short let $i_0 = (q - 1)\frac{n}{d} + 1$ and $i_1 = q\frac{n}{d}$; then $\xi_{d,q}(g) = g(i_1) \rightarrow g(i_0) \in h^2(d) = (\forall(h_A(d)))^I$. Therefore for each $c \in I$ we have $\xi_{d,q}((\Psi(g))(c)) = ((\Psi(g))(c))(i_1) \rightarrow ((\Psi(g))(c))(i_0) = g(i_1)(c) \rightarrow g(i_0)(c) = (g(i_1) \rightarrow g(i_0))(c) \in h^1(d) = \forall(h_A(d))$.

On the other hand, let $f, g \in M((\forall(B(A)))^I), h^2$, $c \in I$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; then:

(i) $\Psi(f \mapsto g) = \Psi(f) \rightarrow \Psi(g)$, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi(f \mapsto g)(c))(i) &= (f \mapsto g)(i)(c) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-i+1} (f(k)(c) \rightarrow g(k+i-1)(c)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{c \in I} (\Psi(g)(c))(i) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-i+1} ((\Psi(f)(c))(k) \rightarrow (\Psi(g)(c))(k+i-1)) \\ &= (\Psi(f)(c) \mapsto \Psi(g)(c))(i). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Psi(\neg f) = \neg\Psi(f)$, and

(iii) $\Psi(\nabla g) = V\Psi(g)$, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi(\nabla g)(c))(i) &= ((\nabla g)(i))(c) = (V(g(i)))(c) = \bigwedge_{c \in I} g(i)(c) = \bigwedge_{c \in I} (\Psi(g)(c))(i) \\ &= \left(\bigwedge_{c \in I} (\Psi(g)(c)) \right)(i) = ((V\Psi(g))(c))(i). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Ψ is bijective.

Claim 3.5 From Theorem 2.2 $\langle A, \nabla \rangle$ and $\langle M(B(A), h_A), \nabla \rangle$ are isomorphic monadic $(n+1)$ -valued Wajsberg algebras; the isomorphism is $\varphi : A \longrightarrow M(B(A), h_A)$ defined by $\varphi(x)(i) = \sigma_i(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Claim 3.6 The monomorphism η is a morphism between the objects $\langle B(A), \nabla, h_A \rangle$ and $\langle (\nabla(B(A)))^I, V, h^2 \rangle$ in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}^{n+1}$. Thus, $M(\eta)$ is a monadic W -monomorphism from $\langle M(B(A), h_A), \nabla \rangle$ into $\langle M((\nabla(B(A)))^I, h^2), \nabla \rangle$.

From Claim 3.1 we only have to show $h_A(d) \subseteq \eta^{-1}((\nabla h_A(d))^I)$, for every $d \in Div(n)$. If $x \in h_A(d)$ then $\forall x \in \nabla(h_A(d))$, on the other hand, $\forall x = c(\nabla x) \leq c(x)$, for each $c \in I$. Therefore $c(x) = \eta(x)(c) \in \nabla(h_A(d))$ for every $c \in I$, i.e., $\eta(x) \in (h_A(d))^I$, so $x \in \eta^{-1}((\nabla h_A(d))^I)$.

Claim 3.7 From Claims 3.1 to 3.6 we have the situation that is shown in the following diagram. The function $\gamma = \Psi \circ M(\eta) \circ \varphi$ from A into $(M(\nabla(B(A))), h^1)^I$ is a monadic W -monomorphism such that for every $a \in A$ there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that $(\gamma(a))(x_0) = \bigwedge_{c \in I} (\gamma(a))(c)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A, \nabla \rangle & & \\ B \downarrow & & \\ \langle B(A), \nabla, h_A \rangle & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \langle (\nabla(B(A)))^I, V, h^2 \rangle \\ M \downarrow & & \downarrow M \\ \langle M(B(A), h_A), \nabla \rangle & \xrightarrow{M(\eta)} & \langle M((\nabla(B(A)))^I, h^2), \nabla \rangle \\ & & \downarrow \Psi \\ & & \langle (M(\nabla(B(A))), h^1)^I, V \rangle \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. P. Belluce, R. Grigolia and A. Lettieri, *Representations of monadic MV-algebras*, *Studia Logica* 81 (2005), no. 1, 123–144.
- [2] V. Boicescu, A. Filipoiu, G. Georgescu and S. Rudeanu, *Lukasiewicz–Moisil Algebras*, North–Holland, 1991.
- [3] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 78, Springer Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [4] C.C. Chang, *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 88 (1958), 467–490.

- [5] C.C. Chang, *A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 93 (1959), 74–80.
- [6] R. Cignoli, *Natural dualities for the algebras of Łukasiewicz finitely-valued logics*, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic 2 (1996), 218.
- [7] R.L.O. Cignoli, I.M.L. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, *Algebraic Foundations of Many-valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [8] R. Cignoli and L. Monteiro, *Maximal Subalgebras of MV_n -algebras. A Proof of a Conjecture of A. Monteiro*, Studia Logica 84,(2006), 393–405. VER
- [9] A. Di Nola and A. Lettieri, *One Chain Generated Varieties of MV-Algebras*, Journal of Algebra 225 (2000), 667–697.
- [10] A. Di Nola and R. Grigolia, *On monadic MV-Algebras*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 128 (2004), no. 1–3, 125–139.
- [11] J.M. Font, A.J. Rodríguez and A. Torrens, *Wajsberg algebras*, Stochastica 8 (1984), 5–31.
- [12] G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu and I. Leustean, *Monadic and Closure MV-Algebras*, Multiple Valued Logic Vol. 3 (1998) 235–257.
- [13] R.S. Grigolia, *Algebraic analysis of Łukasiewicz-Tarski's n -valued logical systems*, in R. Wójcicki, G. Malinowski (Eds.) Selected Papers on Łukasiewicz Sentential Calculi, Ossolineum, Wrocław (1977), 81–92.
- [14] P.R. Halmos, *Algebraic Logic*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1962.
- [15] A. Iorgulescu, *Connections between MV_n algebras and n -valued Łukasiewicz–Moisil algebras Part II*, Discrete Mathematics 202 1–3 (1999), 113–134.
- [16] Y. Komori, *The separation theorem of the \mathfrak{K}_0 -valued Łukasiewicz propositional logic*, Reports of the Faculty of Sciences, Shizuoka University 12 (1978), 1–5.
- [17] Lattanzi, M. B., *Wajsberg Algebras with a U -operator*, Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing, Vol. 10, Nro. 4 (2004), 315–338.
- [18] Lattanzi, M. B., *$(n + 1)$ -Bounded Wajsberg Algebras with a U -operator*, Reports on Mathematical Logic, Nro. 39 (2005), 89–111.
- [19] M. Lattanzi and A. Petrovich, *A Duality for $(n+1)$ -valued MV-algebras*, to appear in Reports on Mathematical Logic.
- [20] N. G. Martínez, *The Priestley Duality for Wajsberg Algebras*, Studia Logica 49, No. 1 (1990), 31–46.
- [21] L. Monteiro, *Algebras de Łukasiewicz trivalentes monádicas*, Notas de Lógica Matemática 32 (Univ. Nac. del Sur, Bahía Blanca, 1974).
- [22] P. Niederkorn, *Natural Dualities for Varieties of MV-algebras, I*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 255 (2001), 58–73. VER
- [23] A.J. Rodríguez, *Un estudio algebraico de los Cálculos Proposicionales de Łukasiewicz*, Tesis Doctoral, Univ. de Barcelona, 1980.
- [24] A. J. Rodríguez and A. Torrens, *Wajsberg Algebras and Post Algebras*, Studia Logica 53 (1994), 1–19.
- [25] D. Schwartz, *Theorie der polyadischen MV-algebren endlicher Ordnung*, Math. Nachr. 78 (1977) 131–138.
- [26] D. Schwartz, *Polyadic MV-algebras*, Zeitschrift für Math. Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik 26 (1980) 561–564.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA - FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE LA PAMPA, AV. URUGUAY 151 - (6300) SANTA ROSA, LA PAMPA, ARGENTINA

E-mail: mblatt@exactas.unlpam.edu.ar

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE BUENOS AIRES, PABELLÓN I - CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

E-mail: apetrov@dm.uba.ar